

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,393	11/09/2001	Dov Ingman	158/02451	3172
44909 7	590 02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
WOLF, BLO	CK, SCHORR & SOLIS	HO, UYEN T		
250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORKS,			3731	
			DATE MAILED, 02/24/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/053,393	INGMAN, DOV				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	ecember 2005.	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	-					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application	ı .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-11,13-30,38,39,44,46 and 47 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12,31-37,40-43 and 45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xammer, Note the attached Onit	Ce Action of Ionn's 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica	ation No				
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/9/01; 5/23/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species III: "Treating blemishes with aspirating air" in the reply filed on 12/06/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-47 are pending. Claims 2-11, 13-30, 38, 39, 44, 46 and 47 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 12, 31, 33, 36, 37, 40, 41, 43, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smit et al. (4,182,329). Smit et al. disclose an apparatus and methods that carries out the steps as claimed for treating blemishes. The method comprises the steps of positioning a surface (86) of a bracing body to a skin region, stem human skin and suction applied to the stem area thereby the blemishes in the skin region are flattened to the surface (86), light gas atoms is sucked into the vacuum chamber and heavier particles is entrapped by water. These particles inherently absorb a gas released through skin, an elastic foil/layer (86) having an adhesive (82 a sponge

Application/Control Number: 10/053,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 32, 34, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browner (2,842,136) in view of Smit et al. (4,182,329). Browner discloses a dielectric layer for heating facial tissue. Although, Browner does not disclose vacuum apparatus as claimed. Smit et al. disclose after heating facial tissue applying a suction to clean duct and prevent acne. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Smit et al. to employ vacuum apparatus as claimed into Browner's device in order to effectively treating facial tissue. Doing so would meet all the limitations as claimed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

rubber gasket (col. 3, lines 40-51 or elastic band, col. 4, lines 1-15) and inherently the mask will cover at least a wrinkle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 32, 34, 35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browner (2,842,136) in view of Smit et al. (4,182,329). Browner discloses a dielectric layer for heating facial tissue. Although, Browner does not disclose vacuum apparatus as claimed. Smit et al. disclose after heating facial tissue applying a suction to clean duct and prevent acne. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Smit et al. to employ vacuum apparatus as claimed into Browner's device in order to effectively treating facial tissue. Doing so would meet all the limitations as claimed.

Regarding to claim 42, Browner and Smit et al. disclose that selecting size and shape to match the face contour. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make at least one bracing body has the shape as claimed in order to accommodate the area that require a rectangular shaped ribbon to wrap around a face area.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is

571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Primary Examiner

raccepentleho

Art Unit 3731

February 14, 2006